

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMRRCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,436	10/519,436 12/22/2004		Hilde Azjin	TIP0015 US	7541
27777	7590	12/14/2005		EXAMINER	
PHILIP S. J			HUMPHREY, LOUISE WANG ZHIYING		
JOHNSON &		HNSON PLAZA	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW BRUN	ISWICK, 1	NJ 08933-7003	1648		
				DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/519,436	AZJIN ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Louise Humphrey, Ph.D.	1648					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in an any be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 De	ecember 2004						
'=	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.							
′—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
-/	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
8)⊠	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	<b>r</b> .						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) Notice (3) Information	t(s)  be of References Cited (PTO-892)  be of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1648

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's Preliminary Amendment, filed 22 December 2004, is acknowledged.

Claims 1-10 are pending.

### Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim 1, drawn to the special technical feature of a computer system comprising at least one database correlating the presence of at least one mutation in a human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) reverse transcriptase and a change in susceptibility of at least one strain of HIV to a reverse transcriptase inhibitor, comprising at least one record corresponding to a correlation between at least one mutation 194G in said reverse transcriptase, and treatment with at least a reverse transcriptase inhibitor.

Group II, claims 2 and 5, drawn to the special technical feature of a method comprising: (i) collecting a sample from an HIV-infected patient; (ii) determining whether the sample comprises a nucleic acid encoding HIV reverse transcriptase having at least one mutation 194G; (iii) correlating the presence of said at least one mutation of step (ii) to a change in effectiveness of said reverse transcriptase inhibitor or viral drug susceptibility.

Group III, claim 3, drawn to the special technical feature of a method comprising: (i) providing a HIV reverse transcriptase nucleic acid comprising at least one mutation 194G; (ii) recombining said nucleic acid of step (i) into a proviral nucleic acid deleted for said sequence to generate a recombinant HIV virus; (iii) determining a phenotypic response of said drug to said HIV reverse transcriptase; and (iv) identifying a drug effective against mutant HIV based on the phenotypic response of step (iii).

Group IV, claims 4 and 7, drawn to the special technical feature of a method comprising: (i) providing a HIV reverse transcriptase comprising at least one mutation

Application/Control Number: 10/519,436

Art Unit: 1648

194G; (ii) determining the activity of said drug on said HIV reverse transcriptase; (iii) determining the activity of said drug on wild type HW reverse transcriptase; (iv) determining the ratio of the activity determined in step (ii) over the activity determined in step (ii); (v) identifying an effective drug against mutant HIV based on the ratio of step (iv).

Group V, claim 6, drawn to the special technical feature of a method comprising: (i) providing an HIV comprising a reverse transcriptase comprising at least one mutation 194G; (ii) determining a phenotypic response of said virus to said drug; and (iii) correlating the phenotypic response of step (ii) to a change in viral drug susceptibility.

Group VI, claim 8, drawn to the special technical feature of a vector for performing phenotypic analysis comprising an HIV sequence having at least one mutation 194G in the HIV reverse transcriptase.

Group VII, claim 9, drawn to the special technical feature of an isolated and purified HIV reverse transcriptase sequence having at least one mutation 194G, wherein said at least one mutation in said sequence correlates to a fold change in susceptibility towards a HIV reverse transcriptase inhibitor.

Group VIII, claim 10, drawn to the special technical feature of an isolated and purified oligonucleotide comprising a HIV reverse transcriptase sequence of 5 to 100 bases for *in vitro* diagnosis of viral drug resistance, characterized in that said oligonucleotide comprises at least one mutation 194G.

The inventions listed as Groups I-VIII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

As set forth above, each group requires a special technical feature that is not present in any of the other groups.

Furthermore, the special technical feature in Group I is a computer system comprising at least one database correlating the presence of at least one mutation in a human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) reverse transcriptase and a change in susceptibility of at least one strain of HIV to a reverse transcriptase inhibitor, comprising

at least one record corresponding to a correlation between at least one mutation 194G in said reverse transcriptase, and treatment with at least a reverse transcriptase inhibitor. However, it is not an improvement over the prior art of Shafer *et al.* (Jan, 1999) and thus lacks an inventive step.

Page 4

Shafer teaches the HIV RT and Protease Sequence Database with all published HIV reverse transcriptase sequences linked to data about the source of the sequence sample and the anti-HIV drug treatment history of the individual from whom the isolate was obtained (see entire document, in particular, Figure 2). The reference specifically teaches using the database for functional and clinical correlates of HIV reverse transcriptase sequence changes. See Medical Relevance.

Since Applicant's inventions are not a contribution over the prior art, they lack a special technical feature, they cannot be said to have unity of invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is

found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

## Joint Inventorship

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

#### Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Louise Humphrey, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-5543. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on 571-272-0902. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,436

Art Unit: 1648

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Louise Humphrey, Ph.D. Patent Examiner 12 December 2005

> JEFFREY STUCKER PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6